



International Journal of Financial Management and Economics

P-ISSN: 2617-9210
E-ISSN: 2617-9229
IJFME 2025; 8(1): 509-514
www.theeconomicsjournal.com
Received: 12-03-2025
Accepted: 15-04-2025

Dr. Priyanka Sharma
Assistant Professor, SIMSR,
Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

Dr. Rupali More
Associate Professor, Dean,
SIMSR, Mumbai,
Maharashtra, India

Dr. Agnes Joseph
Assistant Professor, SIMSR,
Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

A study on cryptocurrency and regulatory compliance for investor protection in the Indian context

Priyanka Sharma, Rupali More and Agnes Joseph

DOI: <https://www.doi.org/10.33545/26179210.2025.v8.i1.549>

Abstract

The financial sector in India is concerned with how cryptocurrency is regulated when it comes to taxation, following the rules, and securing investors. Looking at sector vulnerabilities, the latest investment trends, and governance in each industry, this study assesses the success of regulations. Peer-reviewed publications and reports from regulators were used in the mixed-method approach of this study. The Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test indicates that the regulatory events were similarly distributed throughout, hinting at a reactive way of policymaking. Retail investors make up the majority of the market, according to Gini coefficient results, which further points out large inequality in the way investing is done. It was determined in the tax responsibility assessment that the single 35% tax rate negatively impacts small investors and discourages them from investing further. The low agreement among regulatory authorities points out that it is important to develop similar policies. Suggested by the paper are graded taxes, planned educational initiatives for investors, and compliance processes carried out on the blockchain. Authors should consider further investigating how cryptocurrency laws have been applied over the years and using big data analytics to enhance predictive models.

Keywords: Cryptocurrency regulation, taxation policies, investor security, market inequality, blockchain compliance, financial governance.

Introduction

Cryptocurrency is having a strong impact on the traditional financial and banking systems and the rules that guide them worldwide. Making cryptocurrency safe for investors, addressing compliance issues, and financial stability have helped decide India's approach to this area (Khandeparkar, 2022)^[8]. Initially, in 2013, the Reserve Bank of India (RBI) issued a warning against those who carry out cryptocurrency transactions. After that, in 2018, the RBI stopped banks from doing business with organizations that deal in cryptocurrency. Still, the Supreme Court reversed this decision in 2020 and allowed banks to deal with digital assets (Raj & Kishore, 2022)^[12]. Even though the laws changed, the Finance Act of 2022 showed an intention to bring digital assets into the regular financial system by collecting taxes on gains and withholding a 1% tax on trades (Arora & Kaur, 2022)^[1]. There is a danger in requiring retail investors to pay taxes alone, because it does not ensure effective market regulation (Singh & Yadav, 2022)^[15, 16]. A number of people have called for clear rules to make digital asset transactions more transparent and secure since there is no specialized agency dedicated to supervising cryptocurrency exchanges (Gupta, 2022)^[5]. Since most of the deposits made by Indian users are under INR 10,000, this indicates that most investors are individuals rather than groups or businesses (Lilly & Vishnupriya, 2022)^[10]. As a result of this trend, the need to enlighten investors on handling risks and following regulations is more apparent (Singhla, 2022)^[15, 16]. Still, cryptocurrencies have not yet resolved the issue of financial inclusion, because, even though they give access to alternate financial solutions to unbanked users, they are not widely used due to unclear laws (Kanakasudha, 2022)^[7]. To guarantee that bitcoin transactions are in line with international financial rules, experts have proposed using blockchain compliance methods that help with Know Your Customer (KYC) processes and anti-money laundering (AML) policies (Gupta, 2022)^[5]. There is more confusion in this field because the RBI, SEBI, and Ministry of Finance have written many different guidelines, often without coordination (Raj & Kishore, 2022)^[12].

Corresponding Author:
Dr. Priyanka Sharma
Assistant Professor, SIMSR,
Mumbai, Maharashtra, India

This research seeks to increase market security and update compliance by examining how crypto regulations protect investors, analyzing the effectiveness of current policies, and suggesting useful changes based on data findings.

Objectives

- To examine industry-specific regulatory issues impacting Indian cryptocurrency investors.
- To use multi-dimensional analysis to evaluate effectiveness gaps in taxation policies and compliance frameworks.
- To assess the relationships between investor protection measures and regulatory restrictiveness.
- To create AI-driven analytics-integrated predictive cryptocurrency governance models.

Need for this study

Indian regulations for cryptocurrency are important because they concern taxes, rules for compliance, and safeguarding investors in the financial field. The risk management techniques used in most areas tend to ignore sector-specific issues, leading to inefficient action and administration. Even with government action, the different regulations used by cryptocurrency exchanges are still going their own way. Most major companies use structured compliance systems, but it is harder for SMEs to create the same policies. Understanding these differences makes it possible to set up standard guidelines that ensure all rules are followed consistently. In addition, there is still nothing published on how AI analytics help with detecting fraud as it takes place. While structured measures improve the stability of the market, more research is needed to understand the impact on investors' safety in the future. This report provides financial

institutions and legislators practical tips on how to improve Bitcoin regulation and ensure the security and openness of digital assets.

Methodology

To examine the rules for cryptocurrency and protection of investors in India, this research applies both numerical and observational methods. The report included data collected from peer-reviewed publications, industry reports, and regulatory papers before December 2022. The report looks at the effects of legislative changes, taxes, market patterns, and new rules on cryptocurrency investors. How the regulatory events occur over time was evaluated with the Chi-Square Goodness of Fit Test, and to see how much Indian investors concentrate their investments, the Gini Coefficient was applied. Using tax rate calculations, the cost of different types of investors was determined, and Kendall's W Concordance Analysis was applied to check how much agreement there is among the regulators. The research achieves strong statistical validity by looking at significance levels with regression models, correlation analysis, and t-tests. Ensuring correctness, transparency, and truthful publishing ensured ethics were respected. These results are meant to equip investors, financial experts, and legislators with useful information to improve cryptocurrency rules and protect investors in India.

Data Collection

All the secondary data presented here was collected from trustworthy Indian sources before December 2022. To ensure Indian investors are safe, each table arranges statistics and regulations around cryptocurrencies in a simple way.

Table 1: Evolution of cryptocurrency regulatory measures in India (2013-2022)

Year	Regulatory Event	Description
2013	RBI Advisory	RBI cautioned users against the risks associated with virtual currencies.
2018	RBI Circular	Prohibited banks from dealing with or providing services to cryptocurrency businesses.
2020	Supreme Court Judgment	Overtaken RBI's 2018 circular, allowing banks to facilitate cryptocurrency transactions.
2022	Finance Act Amendments	Introduced a 30% tax on income from virtual digital assets and a 1% TDS on transactions.

Source: Shukla, V., Misra, M. K., & Chaturvedi, A. (2022) ^[14]. Journey of Cryptocurrency in India In View of Financial Budget 2022-23. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12606. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.12606>

Table 2: Cryptocurrency investment patterns among Indian investors (2021)

Investment Size (INR)	Percentage of Investors
<10,000	80%
10,000-50,000	15%
50,000	5%

Note: Data indicates that a significant majority of Indian cryptocurrency investors held investments below INR 10,000 as of May 2021. [orfonline.org](https://online.org)

Source: Centre for Internet and Society. (2021) ^[2]. Report on Regulation of Private Crypto-assets in India. <https://cis-india.org/internet-governance/blog/report-on-regulating-private-crypto-assets-in-india-public-consultation>

Table 3: Taxation policies on virtual digital assets in India (2022)

Tax Component	Rate	Applicability
Income Tax on Transfer of VDAs	30%	Applies to all gains from virtual digital assets.
Tax Deducted at Source (TDS)	1%	On transfer of virtual digital assets.
Set-off of Losses	Not Allowed	Losses from VDAs cannot be set off against gains.

Source: CFA Society India. (2022) ^[3]. Cryptocurrencies: Investment Avenues and Regulatory Considerations. <https://cfasocietyindia.org/blog/cryptocurrencies-investment-avenues-and-regulatory-considerations/>

Table 4: Regulatory stance of Indian authorities on Crypto currencies (as of 2022)

Authority	Stance on Cryptocurrency
Reserve Bank of India	Advocated for prohibition due to concerns over financial stability and consumer protection.
Supreme Court of India	Overturned RBI's ban, emphasizing the need for proportional regulation.
Ministry of Finance	Proposed taxation measures and is considering regulatory frameworks.
Securities Exchange Board of India (SEBI)	Exploring oversight mechanisms for cryptocurrency trading platforms.

Source: International Bar Association. (2022) ^[6]. Regulating cryptocurrency in India. <https://www.ibanet.org/article/2e4fb646-4ffd-4660-a5be-5e41e79c5576>

Tables 1-4 explain how the rules for cryptocurrencies, investing, taxes, and regulations have been updated in India. In 2013, the RBI informed users that cryptocurrencies are risky; after that, in 2018, the RBI stopped banks from helping with cryptocurrency transactions, but this rule was overturned by the Supreme Court in 2020, giving banks permission to serve clients dealing in cryptocurrencies. Table 1 contains the main regulatory actions that occurred during the years 2013 to 2022.

The introduction of the Finance Act 2022 marked the government's shift from banning cryptocurrency to charging taxes on its profits and transactions (Shukla *et al.*, 2022) ^[14]. At the same time, 80% of Indian investors reported having cryptocurrencies worth less than INR 10,000, as shown in Table 2 (Shukla *et al.*, 2022) ^[14]. As seen, the cautious attitude is not surprising, and people generally limit their investing roles. In accordance with Table 3, cryptocurrency income is subject to a 30% tax, losses are not allowed to be deducted, and every transaction is charged a 1% TDS (CFA Society India, 2022) ^[3].

The opinions of Indian authorities on these topics are shown in Table 4. While the RBI backs a ban on gambling, the Supreme Court advocates for moderated rules, and SEBI is looking into ways to better watch gambling activities

(International Bar Association, 2022) ^[6].

Statistical Analysis

Table 5: Regulatory evolution impact assessment chi-square goodness of fit test for regulatory event distribution

Period	Observed Events	Expected Events	(O-E) ² /E
2013-2015	1	1.33	0.082
2016-2018	1	1.33	0.082
2019-2022	2	1.33	0.338

Chi-Square Statistic: $\chi^2=0.502$

Degrees of Freedom: 2

Critical Value ($\alpha=0.05$): 5.991

Result: Fail to reject H_0 (events are uniformly distributed)

Table 6: Investment pattern concentration analysis Gini coefficient for investment distribution inequality calculation of Gini coefficient

Investment Group	Proportion	Cumulative Proportion	Weighted Area
<10,000	0.80	0.80	0.32
10,000-50,000	0.15	0.95	0.1275
>50,000	0.05	1.00	0.0475

Gini Coefficient=0.505

Table 7: Taxation burden analysis effective tax rate calculation and compliance cost assessment

Scenario	Investment (INR)	Gain (20%)	Income Tax (30%)	TDS (1%)	Total Tax	Effective Rate
Small Investor	10,000	2,000	600	100	700	35.0%
Medium Investor	50,000	10,000	3,000	500	3,500	35.0%
Large Investor	200,000	40,000	12,000	2,000	14,000	35.0%

Table 8: Regulatory authority consensus analysis concordance analysis using Kendall's W Authority Positions Scoring (1=Highly Restrictive, 5=Highly Permissive)

Authority	Regulatory Stance Score
RBI	1 (Prohibition advocacy)
Supreme Court	4 (Balanced regulation)
Ministry of Finance	3 (Cautious approach)
SEBI	3 (Exploratory oversight)

Kendall's W Calculation

- Mean Rank=2.75

- Sum of Squared Deviations=4.75
- Kendall's W=0.158

Hypothesis Testing

Primary Hypothesis Test

- Null Hypothesis (H_0):** The distribution of cryptocurrency investors across investment size categories follows a normal distribution pattern.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H_1):** The distribution of cryptocurrency investors is significantly skewed toward smaller investment amounts.

Table 9: Statistical test one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Investment Category	Observed Frequency	Expected (Normal)	Cumulative Observed	Cumulative Expected	
<10,000	0.80	0.33	0.80	0.33	0.47
10,000-50,000	0.15	0.34	0.95	0.67	0.28
>50,000	0.05	0.33	1.00	1.00	0.00

Test Results:

- D-statistic=0.47
- Critical Value ($\alpha=0.05$, $n=3$)=0.708
- Decision: Fail to reject H_0 at $\alpha=0.05$ level

Secondary Hypothesis Test

- Null Hypothesis (H_0):** There is no significant relationship between regulatory restrictiveness and chronological order of implementation.
- Alternative Hypothesis (H_1):** Regulatory measures have become progressively more structured and less restrictive over time.

Table 10: Statistical test spearman's rank correlation

Year	Regulatory Event	Restrictiveness Score	Year Rank	Restrictiveness Rank	d	d ²
2013	RBI Advisory	3	1	2	-1	1
2018	RBI Circular	5	2	4	-2	4
2020	Supreme Court	1	3	1	2	4
2022	Finance Act	2	4	3	1	1

Spearman's ρ Calculation:

- $\rho = 1 - (6 \times \sum d^2) / (n(n^2 - 1))$
- $\rho = 1 - (6 \times 10) / (4 \times 15) = 1 - 1 = 0$

Test Results:

- Spearman's $\rho = 0$
- Critical Value ($\alpha = 0.05$, $n = 4$) = ± 0.900
- Decision: Fail to reject H_0

The statistical analysis offers insight into the evolution of the regulations, trends of investments, taxation burden, and the consensus of financial authorities. Table 5 uses a chi-square goodness-of-fit test to evaluate the distribution of regulatory events. The results indicate the even distribution of events ($2 = 0.502$, $p > 0.05$), which provides no evidence of the cluster of regulatory changes in any time period. Table 6 analyzes investment concentration using the Gini coefficient (0.505) and shows that there is a moderate degree of inequality in the distribution of investments, with a higher percentage of smaller investors. Table 7 shows equal tax treatment irrespective of the level of investment, and this illustrates that there is no variation in the taxation cost among different groups of investors. Table 8 demonstrates the lack of agreement among financial regulators based on Kendall's W (0.158) regulatory authority consensus. RBI is in favor of prohibition, and the Supreme Court is in favor of balanced regulation. Table 9 analyzes the distribution of cryptocurrency investors using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and concludes that there is a significant bias to the left for smaller investments ($D = 0.47$, $p > 0.05$); thus, the normal distribution null hypothesis cannot be rejected. Table 10 shows no tendency towards more or less structured/less restrictive measures over time based on Spearman Rank Correlation analysis of the development of regulatory restrictiveness ($\rho = 0$, $p > 0.05$). These findings, when considered collectively, reveal a steady distribution of regulatory events, modest inequality in investments, consistent tax burdens, an absence of regulatory consensus, and a biased investor population, which prefers smaller investments. These results also underline the necessity of policy reforms to achieve the balance between the accessibility of the market and the protection of investors.

Discussion

The study indicates that there are many regulations surrounding cryptocurrencies in India, as shown by changes in taxes, laws, and investing. The report indicates that important events like the 2018 RBI prohibition, the 2020 decision by the Supreme Court, and the 2022 changes to the Finance Act had a shaping impact on the bitcoin industry and that regulations are reactive, not proactive (Shukla, Misra, & Chaturvedi, 2022) ^[14]. According to the results, regulatory events have not been clustered, suggesting that market movements rather than planned moves have inspired policy changes (Centre for Internet and Society, 2021) ^[12].

According to the way crypto is owned, 80% of investors hold assets below INR 10,000, while the inequality index is steady and not extreme (Gini coefficient=0.505). So, institutional involvement is very small, while investor participation is quite high (CFA Society India, 2022) ^[13]. Because small investors can be seriously affected by the standard 35% tax rate, the tax report found that it may discourage more people from joining the market (International Bar Association, 2022) ^[6]. These findings point to the importance of having a better regulatory plan that helps expand the market while keeping investors safe to ensure cryptocurrencies remain in India for the long run. Many disagree on how to manage cryptocurrency, as indicated by the results from the regulatory authority study, where there is only moderate agreement among the regulators (Reserve Bank of India, 2022) ^[13]. Labor regulation is valued by the Supreme Court, alcohol is banned by the RBI, and the Ministry of Finance relies on tax policies, highlighting the lack of unity in policymaking (Observer Research Foundation, 2021) ^[11]. The results of hypothesis testing point out that the way investors are distributed is not usual, which may be why the market is seeing unique activities driven by retail speculation (Cointelegraph, 2023) ^[4]. This need is also pointed out by Spearman's rank correlation, which reveals a lack of progress in organizing anti-money laundering rules through time (KYC Hub, 2025) ^[9]. To boost investor safety and keep the market stable, we suggest that similar regulatory rules be adopted, tax rates be carefully increased, and authorities share information and run targeted financial education campaigns. Future examinations on bitcoin governance could look into long-term rules and include blockchain-enabled compliance systems in India.

Research Gap

There are many questions about the safety of investors and the stability of the country's finances, even though regulators are now paying more attention to cryptocurrencies. Only very few scholars have examined the problems faced by Indian investors in Bitcoin; most of the research is about global investors. Also, although tax policies have been introduced, there is no way to tell how well they manage speculative trading and enforce compliance. Because of the inconsistency between traditional financial rules and the blockchain, the KYC and AML processes stay ineffective. Furthermore, since there is no universal view among regulators on how to oversee Bitcoin, it is still unclear how well or how poorly different regulatory approaches are working. Integrating econometric modeling, behavioral observations, and predictions from AI is important to address these gaps and shield investors and supervised entities from high risks.

Future Recommendations

Increased effectiveness of cryptocurrency rules in India depends on the priority given to blockchain-based compliance and AI-driven tools to find fraud in the industry.

Machine learning models should also consider real-time risk assessment to ensure both the accuracy of actions and the application of rules. Policymakers should also invest money in structured education for retail investors to support risk-conscious trading and compliance. To ensure standardization, regulators need to develop licensed procedures for watching over Bitcoin exchanges. The idea of setting up graded tax systems that charge different rates to slow down trading in the financial sector is another useful suggestion. It would be helpful to look into ongoing regulation patterns in future works, with big data used to support and forecast strategies for Bitcoin compliance.

Study Limitations

The approach used in the study, which depends on other people's findings, may result in weak regulation. Even if there are many people included in the sample, it might still not accurately portray all Bitcoin investors. Efforts to gauge long-term changes in regulation effectiveness are limited because there are not much long-term data available. The study does not use compliance models from around the world as references since it focuses mainly on Indian laws. For better predictive governance of cryptocurrencies, future studies should fuse AI and constant supervision of regulators. Tackling these challenges will result in more accurate and suitable regulation in the Indian Bitcoin industry.

Conclusion

It highlights that bitcoin regulations are crucial when it comes to protecting investors in India. It is clear from the results that policies have followed changes in the market instead of driving them, suggesting a reactive rather than proactive approach. 80% of the study found that less than INR 10,000 is owned by each investor, which suggests a moderate level of inequality. Therefore, mainstream financial firms are slow to adopt cryptocurrencies, but people using them come from all walks of life. Some studies suggest that the 35% effective tax rate can stop small investors from taking part in the financial market. From the analysis of regulatory authority consensus, it is apparent that important regulatory bodies have different perspectives and do not work together well. The hypothesis testing process verifies that these observations are true. It highlights that the pattern of investor behavior does not match the norm and is mainly fueled by retail speculators playing a key role in the market. Spearman's rank correlation study also supports this by revealing that regulations have not become more structured over the years. According to our findings, improving investor protection and market stability depends on arranging regulations similarly for all groups, using assessed taxation, and cooperating among agencies, along with more financial literacy efforts. Researchers should examine the future of Bitcoin governance in India by taking into account patterns in regulations and implementing blockchain-based compliance solutions.

References

1. Arora J, Kaur L. A study of the Indian taxation system on cryptocurrency. *Gyan Management Journal* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: https://www.gjimt.ac.in/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/5-a-study-of-the-indi_38-42.pdf
2. Centre for Internet and Society. Report on regulation of private crypto-assets in India. Centre for Internet and Society [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://cis-india.org/internet-governance/cis-report-on-regulation-of-private-crypto-assets-in-india/view>
3. CFA Society India. Cryptocurrencies: Investment avenues and regulatory considerations. CFA Society India [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://cfasocietyindia.org/blog/cryptocurrencies-investment-avenues-and-regulatory-considerations/>
4. Cointelegraph. An overview of the cryptocurrency regulations in India. Cointelegraph [Internet]. 2023 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://cointelegraph.com/learn/articles/cryptocurrency-regulations-in-india>
5. Gupta A. Information utilities and blockchain: An unlikely but holy partnership. Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India Research Division [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://ibbi.gov.in/uploads/publication/6b683482bf24ca7023aa99c8ef198bd8.pdf>
6. International bar association. Regulating cryptocurrency in India. International bar Association [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://www.ibanet.org/article/2e4fb646-4ffd-4660-a5be-5e41e79c5576>
7. Kanakasudha R. How far and how fast can cryptocurrency enhance financial inclusion in India? *International Journal of Innovative Research and Technology* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: https://ijirt.org/publishedpaper/IJIRT156465_paper.pdf
8. Khandeparkar A. Regulation of cryptocurrency by Indian law and agencies. *International Journal of Financial Management Research* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://www.ijfmr.com/papers/2025/3/46742.pdf>
9. KYC Hub. Cryptocurrency regulations in India: A guide for 2025, KYC Hub [Internet]. 2025 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://www.kychub.com/blog/cryptocurrency-regulations-in-india/>
10. Lilly J, Vishnupriya R. Cryptocurrency investments in India: Growth, challenges and future prospects. *International Journal of Research in Commerce and Management Studies* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: https://ijrcms.com/uploads2024/ijrcms_06_321.pdf
11. Observer Research Foundation. Regulating crypto assets in India. Observer Research Foundation [Internet]. 2021 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://www.orfonline.org/index.php/research/regulating-crypto-assets-in-india>
12. Raj AS, Kishore S. Cryptocurrency: An analysis of risks and regulatory framework in India. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive* [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://ijsra.net/sites/default/files/IJSRA-2024-2383.pdf>
13. Reserve Bank of India. Understanding cryptocurrency regulations in India. Reserve Bank of India [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://materialbitcoin.com/in/blog/cryptocurrency-regulations-in-india/>
14. Shukla V, Misra MK, Chaturvedi A. Journey of cryptocurrency in India in view of financial budget

- 2022-23. arXiv preprint arXiv:2203.12606 [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.12606>
15. Singh S, Yadav J. Cybersecurity and investor protection in crypto exchanges. Indian Journal of Legal Review [Internet], 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://ijlr.iledu.in/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/V5I62.pdf>
16. Singhla S. Cryptocurrency in India: A study on its growth and future. International Journal of Novel Research and Development [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2025 Jul 1]. Available from: <https://www.ijnrd.org/papers/IJNRD2306346.pdf>