International Journal of Financial Management and Economics

P-ISSN: 2617-9210
E-ISSN: 2617-9229
IJFME 2024; 7(2): 658-662
www.theeconomicsjournal.com
Received: 03-08-2024
Accepted: 07-09-2024

Dr. S Sankar
Professor, Sri Sairam Institute of Management Studies, Sri Sairam Engineering College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

A Swathi
Scholar, Sri Sairam Institute of Management Studies, Sri Sairam Engineering College, Tamil Nadu, India

Corresponding Author:
Dr. S Sankar
Professor, Sri Sairam Institute of Management Studies, Sri Sairam Engineering College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India

Impact of ESG (Environmental, social, governance) investing on portfolio returns

S Sankar and A Swathi

DOI: https://doi.org/10.33545/26179210.2024.v7.i2.434

Abstract
The investment in ESG relies on sustainability and ethics in aiding financial decisions, influencing both portfolio performance and investor behaviour. This paper investigates how ESG factors affect portfolios' returns in terms of risk-adjusted performance, economic downturn resilience, and long-term value creation. Some evidence indicates often that ESG-alignment-related portfolios are better or as good as traditional investment portfolios, especially concerning the reduction of various environmental hazards risks, regulatory change risks, and social pressures risks.
High ESG ratings are perceived to reflect improved corporate governance, resource efficiency, and stakeholder trust, leading to lower volatility and higher financial stability. The association between ESG integration and returns, however, shows a mixed picture across regions, sectors, and asset classes. The threats include the risk of greenwashing, limited standardization of ESG metrics, and possible underperformance in specific industries where a low score for sustainability can be associated with better financial returns.
The paper indicates that ESG investing actually allows the alignment of financial goals with broader societal objectives, thus saving the current investor demand for carrying out sustainable activities. By improving regulatory regimes and ESG disclosure standards, this will create ample space for the informed integration of ESG. The findings conclude that ESG investing plays a strategic role in portfolio optimization to the environment, social improvement, and sound corporate governance.

Keywords: ESG investing, portfolio returns, risk-adjusted performance, sustainability, corporate governance, green washing, regulatory frameworks, financial stability

Introduction
It shows that the increase in ESG investing reflects increasing awareness that financial performance, societal progress, and environmental sustainability intertwine. ESG no longer confines itself to traditional measures of finance; instead, investments now increasingly include non-financial considerations with a view toward long-term value creation and risk mitigation. Once the approach was relegated to a niche; but since, it has become mainstream where more and more institutional and retail investors adopt the approach.
The ESG criteria form an integrated framework in the analysis of the behavior and resilience of a company. It involves environmental factors with how companies manage natural resources and climate risks. Social criteria involve relations with employees, communities, and other stakeholders. Governance is related to corporate leadership, transparency, and accountability. Together, these dimensions seek to pinpoint firms that will be more resilient to the rapidly evolving economic and regulatory situation.
This paper explores how ESG investing can affect portfolio returns, focusing on empirical evidence and on key drivers and challenges. Through the examination of ESG factors in driving and limiting financial outcomes, this paper analyzes what it means for the future of investment management and more critically discusses issues around green washing, regulatory developments, and changing stakeholder expectations.
Investors are increasingly attracted to ESG strategies given their capacity to yield competitive returns and address global issues such as climate change, inequality, and governance failures. Research has also proved that the integration of ESG shows that there is robust portfolio resilience over volatile markets; however, a grave debate goes on over the trade-offs between ESG compliance and financial performance, as well as the reliability of

ESG data and metrics.

In conclusion, ESG investing is a paradigm shift in the management of portfolios and aligning financial objectives with broader societal and environmental goals. Its implications are therefore very relevant to investors looking to balance profitability with purpose in a rapidly changing, increasingly complex global market.

Need for study

The fast growth of ESG investing has dramatically altered the face of the global financial picture, making way for more stringent climate concerns, social inequality issues, and accountability in corporations. Yet, on the one hand, ESG integration on portfolio returns has become a continued debate; some even emphasize enhanced risk-adjusted performance and resilience, whereas others show that there can be a trade-off in financial returns originating from exclusionary practices or greenwashing risks.

One of the fundamental issues investors and fund managers grapple with is how ESG factors influence returns in sectors, regions, or asset classes. It is further challenged due to a lack of standardised ESG metrics and inconsistencies in the reporting associated with ESG investing. Hence, this research study is important for filling in the gap through financial implications in ESG investing and its ability to align investment goals with sustainable goals.

The present research will yield empirical evidence and insights guiding the investor on optimization of a portfolio in conjunction with environmental and social responsibility.

Objective of the study

The first aim of this research is to assess the impact of ESG investing on returns over the portfolio. In general, this is:

1. To evaluate if better performance is produced by integrating ESG factors over the traditional investments or not.
2. Explore how ESG factors influence adjusted returns for risk, resilience, and portfolios' long-term value creation.
3. Analyze how ESG criteria help to mitigate financial risks, such as regulation change, climate risks, and social disruptions.
4. Research sector and regional differences between the financial outperformance of ESG-oriented portfolios.
5. Discuss issues associated with ESG, like greenwashing, inconsistencies of data among firms, and standardization of ESG metrics.
6. Offer actionable advice for investors and asset managers to maximize ESG-driven investment strategies.

This analysis will expand the understanding of how ESG considerations affect financial results so that investors may better balance profitability with sustainability objectives.

Review of Literature

- Cesarone, F. & *et al* (2022) [1] researched ESG criteria can enhance portfolio profitability in the US, but not in Europe, with two distinct behavioural patterns observed over the last fifteen years.
- Spiegeleer, J & *et al* (2020) [2] researched ESG investing does not provide clear-cut evidence for enhanced portfolio performance, and portfolios with reduced emissions do not necessarily have increased risk or diminished returns.
- Useche, A. & *et al* (2023) [3] researched Socially responsible investment portfolios in Latin America, guided by ESG criteria, outperform low-ESG and non-reporting companies, generating a psychic dividend or ESG utility premium.
- Powaski, M. & *et al* (2021) [4] researched ESG policies have mixed results on stock returns in Australia and Japan, with low market capitalization portfolios outperforming higher capitalization ones.
- Pedersen, L. & *et al* (2019) [5] researched about The ESG-efficient frontier shows the highest attainable Sharpe ratio for each ESG level, indicating the costs and benefits of responsible investing.
- Henriksson, R. & *et al* (2019) [6] researched about Integrating ESG issues into portfolios using material, industry-specific items and an ESG good minus bad (GMB) factor is more effective than using voluntary data, especially for large-scale quantitative investment approaches.
- Fridson, M. & *et al* (2021) [7] researched ESG-based high-yield indexes may slightly outperform standard indexes, but their superior downside protection in down markets is due to underweighting in energy bonds and lowest-rated issues.
- Halbritter, G., & Dorfleitner, G. (2015) [8] researched ESG ratings show a significant influence on financial performance, but investors can no longer expect abnormal returns by trading a different portfolio of high and low rated firms.
- Klimova, M. (2022) [9] researched about ESG policies positively impacting stock returns and portfolio performance, suggesting that investors can select positions in portfolios using ESG principles as selection criteria.
- Pástor, Ľ. & *et al* (2019) [10] researched about Sustainable investing, considering environmental, social, and governance criteria, has low expected returns but can outperform when positive shocks hit the ESG factor, promoting positive social impact.

Research Methodology

This study employs a mixed-methods approach to examine the impact of ESG investing on portfolio returns. The methodology involves the following steps:

1. Literature Review

A comprehensive review of existing academic research, industry reports, and regulatory frameworks on ESG investing to establish a theoretical foundation.

2. Data Collection

- **Quantitative Data:** Obtain historical performance data of ESG-focused and traditional portfolios from good financial databases such as Bloomberg, MSCI, Morningstar.
- **Qualitative Data:** Research ESG ratings and metrics sourced from providers such as MSCI, Sustainalytics, and FTSE Russell.

3. Sample Selection

Analyze a diversified set of portfolios: Equity, fixed income, and mixed-asset funds across regions and sectors.

4. Data Analysis

- **Descriptive Analysis:** Compare the performance, volatility, and risk-adjusted returns of ESG and non-ESG portfolios.
- **Regression Analysis:** Define whether the ESG scores have a correlation with portfolio returns under the regulation control of market conditions as well as that of sectoral exposure. Scenario Analysis: Evaluate portfolio performance under various conditions, such as economic decline, regulatory changes, and so on.

5. A comparative analysis

Sectoral and regional differences in ESG impact will be analyzed to identify industries and markets where ESG integration is more effective.

6. Case Studies

Detailed analysis of select ESG funds would be provided to understand what drives their performance and identify the best practices.

7. Limitations

Mention the potential issues with available data, differences in ESG rating methodologies, and possible biases that might emerge in analysis.

Data Analysis

T-Test

[DataSet1]

One-Sample Test

	Test Value = 0					
					95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Lower	Upper
How would you rate your understanding of ESG criteria?	23.750	119	.000	3.092	2.83	3.35

One-Sample Statistics

	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
How would you rate your understanding of ESG criteria?	120	3.09	1.426	.130

Interpretation

A one-sample t-test was performed to assess participants' comprehension of ESG criteria. The study group comprised 120 individuals, who had an average score of 3.09 (SD = 1.426). A test value of 0 was established, and the analysis resulted in a t-value of 23.750 with 119 degrees of freedom. The p-value obtained was <0.001, indicating a highly significant outcome. The mean difference calculated was 3.092, and the 95% confidence interval spanned from 2.83 to 3.35, confirming that the actual mean is considerably greater than 0. The standard error of the mean was recorded at 0.130, signifying the accuracy of the sample mean. These findings indicate that participants rated their understanding of ESG criteria favorably. The results imply a moderate level of familiarity with the subject matter, as evidenced by the mean score exceeding the midpoint of the scale.

Findings

Research into the portfolio returns impact of ESG investing reveals the following key insights:

1. Competitive or Superior Risk-Adjusted Returns

ESG-integrated portfolios are usually found to have competitive or superior risk-adjusted returns relative to their traditional counterparts, especially in the long run.

2. Resistance to Market Downsides

ESG-related investments are better able to withstand periods of market volatility due to prudent practices in governance, combined with effective risk management.

3. Sector and Region Specific Differences

The impact of ESG factors differs widely across sectors and regions. Much more value is unlocked by renewable energy, technology, and healthcare sectors; traditional fossil fuel companies like oil and gas may have a performance trade-off.

4. Risk Reducer via ESG

The portfolios that received higher ESG scores are more resilient and less vulnerable to risks if environmental and regulatory risks eventuate, thus less exposed to downward exposure in uncertain economic times.

5. Greenwashing Confinement

Greenwashing is an absolute challenge for the investment

firms, according to this study, where companies exaggerate their compliance with ESG, which may mislead the investors.

6. Standardized Metrics
There is also the problem of diversity in reporting standards of ESG, which makes portfolio comparison more challenging and complex in making decisions. There is still a need for regulatory improvement.

7. Investor Demand and Regulatory Support
There grows the demand for sustainable investment with incentives by regulation that pushes ESG into mainstream financial practices.

8. Short-Term Underperformance Risks
ESG-driven portfolios are likely to face short-term losses in industries where the sustainability drivers clash with the traditionally driven factors of purpose.

9. Alignment to Long Term
ESG investment has well aligned in comparison to long-term investment goals. The logic behind it is that financial performance needs to be balanced with societal and environmental goals.

10. Prospects going forward
As ESG metrics and reporting frameworks advance, it leads to the likelihood of enhancing portfolio optimization and increasing returns through ESG integration.
The aforementioned findings emphasize the strategic value of ESG investing in terms of bringing in more balancing profitability, risk management, and sustainability into the whole process.

Suggestion
From the study's findings, the following recommendations are proposed for investors, policymakers, and financial institutions on how to best enhance their integration of ESG (Environment, Social, and Governance) factors in portfolio management:

1. Standardize ESG Metrics
Regulatory and industry bodies collaborate to develop globally recognized reporting standards for ESG. This would increase transparency, comparability, and reliability of ESG data.

2. Enhance ESG Data Quality
Investors should have maximum utilization of ESG data providers and make separate analyses in order to avoid green washing as well as erraticity in reporting.

3. Comprehensive approach
The integration of ESG will be different with investment objectives, sectors, and regions in order to avail maximum benefit from ESG; otherwise, the same performance would differ with the financial one.

4. Long-term oriented
Investors will focus on long-term value creation instead of short-term gains, now understanding the strategic benefits of ESG in building portfolio resilience and mitigating risks.

5. Promote Active Engagement
Asset managers as well as investors should be actively engaged with companies to help them improve their ESG practices and hold them accountable for their sustainability commitments.

6. Incorporate Scenario Analysis
Portfolio managers should conduct scenario analyses to better understand how ESG factors impact performance in different economic and market conditions.

7. Enhancing ESG Awareness
Education and awareness campaigns should be carried out to enable investors know the importance and financial implication of ESG investing.

8. Encourage Innovation in Sustainable Finance
Policymakers should promote the development of innovative financial instruments, such as green bonds and sustainability-linked loans, to support ESG goals.

9. Integrate ESG into Risk Management
In the same spirit, financial institutions should link their risk management structures to ESG aspects to identify and control possible financial and reputational risks.

10. Monitor and Respond
Investors need to constantly and periodically review and adapt the ESG approach in view of emerging market trends, regulatory changes, as well as evolving sustainability challenges.
Through the implementation of these recommendations, stakeholders would be able to more effectively leverage ESG investing to reach financial, environmental, and societal goals.

Conclusion
The end conclusion of the study is that ESG investing indeed has an impact on portfolio returns offering more opportunities and challenges for investors. ESG integration provides a framework for aligning financial objectives with broader environmental and societal goals to answer the increasing demand for sustainable investment strategies.
ESG portfolios are more likely to deliver competitive or superior long-term risk-adjusted returns. They are much less vulnerable to market downturns as proven by effective good governance practices and proactive risk management. Generally, ESG investing enhances the ability of an investor to manage risk arising from environmental regulation, societal change, and scarcity of resources.
However, the research points out some challenges with the use of ESG metrics, including lack of standardization, risk of greenwashing, and possible underperformance in industries where ESG performance is in conflict with financial performance. Sectoral and regional variations add to the complexity of ESG integration.
In conclusion, even though ESG investing has some drawbacks, it is transforming portfolio management. The advancement of the ESG reporting standards, as well as continuously changing regulatory frameworks, will positively influence further current optimizations in portfolio returns from ESG strategies. For investors, integrating ESG into the assessments creates opportunities to contribute to sustainable development and long-term value creation.

References

1. Cesarone F, Martino M, Carleo A. Does ESG impact really enhance portfolio profitability?. Sustainability. 2022;14(4). https://doi.org/10.3390/su14042050.
2. Spiegeleer J, *et al*. ESG: a new dimension in portfolio allocation. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment. 2020;13:827-867. https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2021.1923336.
3. Useche A, *et al*. Socially responsible portfolios, environmental, social, corporate governance (ESG) efficient frontiers, and psychic dividends. Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management. 2023. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.2635.
4. Powaski M, *et al*. ESG impact on financial corporate performance and portfolio returns: evidence of Australia and Japan. Vinculatégica. 2021. https://doi.org/10.29105/vtga7.2-5.
5. Pedersen L, *et al*. Responsible investing: The ESG-efficient frontier. Governance. 2019. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3466417.
6. Henriksson R, *et al*. Integrating ESG in portfolio construction. Journal of Portfolio Management. 2019;45(4):67-81. https://doi.org/10.3905/jpm.2019.45.4.067.
7. Fridson M, *et al*. ESG impact on high-yield returns. Journal of Fixed Income. 2021;30(1):53-63. https://doi.org/10.3905/jfi.2021.1.108.
8. Halbritter G, Dorfleitner G. The wages of social responsibility—where are they? A critical review of ESG investing. Review of Financial Economics. 2015;26:25-35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rfe.2015.03.004.
9. Klimova M. Potential effects of ESG (Environmental, Social, and Governance) policies on the returns of an investment portfolio. Review of Business and Economics Studies. 2022;10(2):21-55. https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944x-2022-10-2-21-55.
10. Pástor Ľ, *et al*. Sustainable investing in equilibrium. Mutual Funds. 2019. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3498354.
11. Maran K, Anitha R. Impact of foreign direct investment on power sector: An empirical study with reference to India. East Asian Journal of Business Economics (EAJBE). 2015;3(1):8-16.
12. Venkatesh P, Ilakkiya T, Ramu M, Manikandan M, Senthilnathan CR. An analysis of the strategic approach to utilizing deep learning for the purpose of predicting stock prices. 2023 Intelligent Computing and Control for Engineering and Business Systems (ICCEBS). IEEE; 2023. p. 1-4.
13. Prammila TA, Dhayalan V, Gopinath M. A study on cash flow analysis with reference to the Chennai Metro Rail Limited (CMRL). Studies in Indian Place Names. 2020;40(40):153-160.
14. Jeyalakshmi R, Kannan MR, Nuskiya MF, Kumar MN. Impact of interest rate and inflation in stock price of FMCG companies. Ilkogretim Online. 2021;20(1):4718-4728.
15. Sankar S, Maran K. Performance evaluation of select leading public sector banks in India. Editorial Advisory Board. 2015;6:326.
16. Prabha P, Maran K. Asian stock market integration—An empirical approach. International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Innovative Research. 2021;8(4):368-374.
17. Illakya T, Keerthana B, Murugan K, Venkatesh P, Manikandan M, Maran K. The role of the internet of things in the telecom sector. 2022 International Conference on Communication, Computing and Internet of Things (IC3IoT). IEEE; 2024. p. 1-5. https://doi.org/10.1109/ic3iot60841.2024.10550390.
18. Manikandan M, Venkatesh P, Illakya T, Krishnamoorthi M, Senthilnathan C, Maran K. The significance of big data analytics in the global healthcare market. 2022 International Conference on Communication, Computing and Internet of Things (IC3IoT). IEEE; 2024. https://doi.org/10.1109/ic3iot60841.2024.10550417.
19. Ilakkiya T, Manikandan M, Ch RK, MK, Ramu M, Venkatesh P. Neuro computing-based models of digital marketing as a business strategy for Bangalore’s startup founders. 2024 International Conference on Intelligent Systems (InCoS). IEEE; 2024. p. 1-3. https://doi.org/10.1109/incos59338.2024.10527779.
20. Venkatesh P, Selvakumar V, Ramu M, Manikandan M, Senthilnathan CR. Measure of well-being of freelancers in IT sector. 2023 Intelligent Computing and Control for Engineering and Business Systems (ICCEBS). IEEE; 2023. https://doi.org/10.1109/iccebs58601.2023.10448738.
21. Murugan K, *et al*. A comparison of lump sum and systematic investment plan with reference to Axis mutual fund. Solid State Technology. 2020;63(2):2577-2584.
22. Venkatesh P, Revathi DS. A study on performance analysis of selected mutual fund schemes in India. Solid State Technology. 2020;63(2S).