



## International Journal of Financial Management and Economics

P-ISSN: 2617-9210  
E-ISSN: 2617-9229  
IJFME 2024; 7(2): 539-544  
[www.theeconomicsjournal.com](http://www.theeconomicsjournal.com)  
Received: 30-10-2024  
Accepted: 02-12-2024

**Khalida Tabassum**  
Research Scholar,  
University Department of  
Economics, Tilka Manjhi  
Bhagalpur University,  
Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

### Comparative roles and functioning of SHGs in different states of India

**Khalida Tabassum**

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.33545/26179210.2024.v7.i2.413>

#### Abstract

Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in India are pivotal in empowering rural communities, especially women, by fostering financial inclusion, skill development, and livelihood generation. This study examines the comparative roles and functioning of SHGs across different states to highlight regional variations and best practices. States like Andhra Pradesh and Kerala have demonstrated exemplary SHG models through robust government initiatives like the Society for Elimination of Rural Poverty (SERP) and Kudumbashree Mission, emphasizing financial independence, capacity building, and integration with local governance. Tamil Nadu's SHGs excel in micro-enterprise development, supported by NGOs and training programs. Bihar and Rajasthan, under programs like Jeevika and state-led initiatives, focus on financial inclusion and sustainable livelihoods, albeit with slower progress due to weaker institutional frameworks. Key factors influencing SHG success include government support, NGO participation, financial linkages, and socio-economic conditions. Despite notable achievements, challenges such as regional disparities, limited market access, and gender inequality persist. Strengthening market infrastructure, fostering equitable participation, and ensuring program sustainability are critical for maximizing SHG potential across India. This comparative analysis underscores the importance of tailored approaches to address state-specific needs while leveraging successful models to achieve inclusive rural development.

**Keywords:** Self-Help Groups (SHGs), financial inclusion, women's empowerment, rural development, livelihood promotion

#### 1. Introduction

Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in India are pivotal grassroots institutions that have emerged as effective tools for addressing rural poverty, empowering women, and fostering socio-economic development. Introduced in the late 1980s, SHGs are informal collectives, primarily composed of women, aimed at promoting financial inclusion, savings, credit access, and collective problem-solving. The SHG movement gained momentum with the launch of the Self-Help Group-Bank Linkage Program (SHG-BLP) by NABARD in 1992, which facilitated the integration of SHGs with formal banking systems, providing rural communities with greater access to financial resources. Over the years, SHGs have been institutionalized through flagship programs like the Swarnjayanti Gram Swarozgar Yojana (SGSY) and its successor, the National Rural Livelihoods Mission (NRLM), which focus on creating sustainable livelihoods, enhancing capacities, and promoting social inclusion. While SHGs operate under a shared national framework, their roles and functioning vary significantly across states due to differences in socio-economic conditions, policy support, and implementation strategies. States like Andhra Pradesh and Kerala have set benchmarks in SHG performance through robust state-driven programs such as the Society for Elimination of Rural Poverty (SERP) and the Kudumbashree Mission, emphasizing financial independence, skill development, and community-driven development. Tamil Nadu has excelled in fostering micro-enterprises and market linkages through strong NGO collaboration, while Bihar and Rajasthan, under initiatives like Jeevika and sustainable livelihood projects, focus on financial inclusion and resource management, albeit with slower progress. Despite their transformative potential, SHGs face challenges such as regional disparities, limited market access, and socio-cultural barriers, making it essential to analyze their comparative functioning across states to identify best practices, address gaps,

**Corresponding Author:**  
**Khalida Tabassum**  
Research Scholar,  
University Department of  
Economics, Tilka Manjhi  
Bhagalpur University,  
Bhagalpur, Bihar, India

and create tailored approaches for enhancing their impact.

### SHGs In Different States of India

Self-Help Groups (SHGs) have emerged as crucial drivers of rural empowerment and poverty alleviation in India, significantly contributing to women's empowerment and socio-economic development. However, the roles and functioning of SHGs differ across states due to variations in governance, socio-economic contexts, institutional support, and regional needs. Below is a detailed examination of how SHGs function across various states, highlighting their achievements, challenges, and unique approaches.

#### Andhra Pradesh

Andhra Pradesh is renowned for its highly successful SHG model, largely driven by the Society for Elimination of Rural Poverty (SERP). This program has established a strong network of SHGs linked with formal banking institutions, facilitating financial inclusion and credit access. Thrift and credit activities are at the core of SHG operations in the state, supported by government-backed financial literacy programs. SHGs in Andhra Pradesh are also integrated with welfare schemes such as the Public Distribution System (PDS) and health initiatives, enhancing their impact on community well-being. The state's model has significantly reduced poverty and empowered women through income-generating activities, making it a role model for other states.

#### Kerala

Kerala's SHGs, functioning under the Kudumbashree Mission, exemplify a participatory approach to development. Kudumbashree integrates SHGs with local self-governance structures, enabling them to influence decision-making processes at the grassroots level. These groups focus on microfinance, skill development, and livelihood generation, particularly in areas like agriculture, handicrafts, and food processing. Moreover, the Kudumbashree Mission emphasizes social equity by addressing issues such as gender discrimination and social justice. Kerala's SHG model has not only improved household incomes but also enhanced women's leadership and participation in governance.

#### Tamil Nadu

SHGs in Tamil Nadu are characterized by strong NGO involvement and government support, which together have created a thriving ecosystem for women's empowerment. The state's SHGs are particularly focused on micro-enterprise development, enabling women to establish small-scale businesses in areas such as textiles, handicrafts, and agro-based industries. Tamil Nadu also emphasizes market linkages, providing SHGs with platforms to sell their products through fairs and exhibitions. Additionally, extensive training programs enhance the entrepreneurial skills of SHG members, leading to increased economic opportunities. The success of SHGs in Tamil Nadu underscores the importance of public-private partnerships in rural development.

#### Bihar

In Bihar, SHGs gained momentum under the Jeevika Project, a key component of the National Rural Livelihoods Mission (NRLM). The program focuses on financial

inclusion for marginalized communities, including women from Dalit and tribal groups. Despite significant challenges such as low literacy rates and socio-cultural barriers, Bihar's SHGs have made substantial progress in promoting savings, credit access, and small-scale entrepreneurship. The Jeevika initiative also prioritizes skill development and vocational training, enabling SHG members to explore diverse livelihood opportunities. While the impact of SHGs in Bihar is growing, persistent challenges such as weak infrastructure and limited market access need to be addressed.

#### Rajasthan

Rajasthan's SHGs operate in a challenging environment marked by arid conditions and limited natural resources. The focus in Rajasthan is on sustainable livelihoods, particularly in agriculture, livestock management, and water conservation. Supported by both government programs and NGOs, these SHGs have implemented innovative practices such as drip irrigation and community-managed water resources. Financial inclusion is another key priority, with SHGs leveraging microfinance to support their activities. While Rajasthan's SHGs have successfully addressed environmental challenges, there is scope to improve their market connectivity and capacity-building initiatives.

#### Maharashtra

Maharashtra's SHGs, supported by the Maharashtra State Rural Livelihoods Mission (MSRLM), emphasize agribusiness and market-oriented production. These groups engage in activities such as organic farming, dairy production, and value-added food processing, ensuring better income opportunities for rural households. Maharashtra has also developed strong partnerships with cooperatives and NGOs, enhancing the reach and impact of its SHG programs. Training in financial literacy and digital skills further empowers SHG members to manage resources effectively. The state's focus on creating sustainable livelihoods through market linkages and skill development has contributed significantly to rural development.

#### Challenges Across States

Despite their achievements, SHGs in India face common challenges. These include limited market access, inadequate training, and dependence on external support from governments and NGOs. Additionally, socio-cultural barriers, such as patriarchal norms and gender inequality, often restrict women's full participation in SHG activities. Disparities in the performance of SHGs across states highlight the need for context-specific interventions and increased investment in capacity building and infrastructure.

#### Literature Review

- **Harper (2002)** <sup>[1]</sup>: In his book *"Self-Help Groups and Grameen Bank,"* Harper explored the emergence of SHGs in India, emphasizing their role in microfinance and grassroots development. He compared SHGs in Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh, identifying successful practices like women's involvement and strong institutional linkages.
- **Puhazhendi and Badatya (2002)** <sup>[2]</sup>: A NABARD study assessed the impact of SHG-Bank Linkage programs in states like Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, and Tamil Nadu. The study highlighted the economic benefits of SHGs and their contribution to poverty

alleviation.

- **Nair (2005)** <sup>[3]</sup>: Nair focused on the shift from informal lending to institutionalized credit through SHGs, especially in Kerala, underscoring the significance of government-NGO collaboration in scaling SHGs.
- **NABARD (2008)** <sup>[4]</sup>: A report examined how SHG-BLP initiatives in Andhra Pradesh, Tamil Nadu, and Bihar helped women achieve financial independence, though challenges in states with weaker institutional frameworks were noted.
- **Dasgupta (2013)** <sup>[6]</sup>: This research compared SHG functioning in West Bengal and Odisha, highlighting how cultural norms influenced women's participation and group dynamics.
- **Kudumbashree Mission Report (2015)** <sup>[7]</sup>: Focused on Kerala, this report detailed the integration of SHGs into state welfare programs, leading to higher income generation and women's empowerment.
- **Singh (2014)** <sup>[8]</sup>: Singh identified challenges such as weak financial literacy and limited market access in states like Bihar and Uttar Pradesh. The study suggested improving training programs and infrastructure for SHGs.
- **Mathew (2016)** <sup>[9]</sup>: The author discussed leadership and governance issues in SHGs, comparing Kerala and Jharkhand. While Kerala's SHGs benefited from structured capacity-building programs, Jharkhand's groups struggled with member cohesion.
- **Chakraborty (2017)** <sup>[10]</sup>: This study focused on the fragmentation of SHGs in northern states due to inadequate monitoring and political interference, contrasting it with the better-organized SHGs in southern India.
- **Seibel and Dave (2011)** <sup>[13]</sup>: Their study found that SHGs in Maharashtra benefited from proactive bank linkages, while Rajasthan and Jharkhand faced issues of financial exclusion.

### Factors influencing the effectiveness of SHGs

The effectiveness of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) in India is shaped by multiple interrelated factors, each contributing to their capacity to drive financial inclusion, social empowerment, and sustainable development. While the broad framework for SHG operations is guided by national-level initiatives, variations across states arise due to differences in socio-economic conditions, institutional support, and cultural contexts. This section explores the key factors influencing the success and challenges of SHGs in India.

#### 1. Government Policy and Institutional Support

Government support is crucial for the initiation, functioning, and sustainability of SHGs. States like Andhra Pradesh and Kerala have demonstrated the importance of robust institutional frameworks, such as the Society for Elimination of Rural Poverty (SERP) and Kudumbashree Mission, which provide financial resources, training, and integration with welfare schemes. These frameworks facilitate financial inclusion and livelihood development, making SHGs more effective. On the other hand, states with weaker policy frameworks or fragmented support, such as Bihar and Uttar Pradesh, face challenges in creating a conducive environment for SHGs to thrive.

#### 2. Financial Inclusion and Access to Credit

The ability of SHGs to access formal credit significantly impacts their operations. Programs like the Self-Help Group-Bank Linkage Program (SHG-BLP), initiated by NABARD, have successfully connected SHGs with banking systems, enabling them to secure loans and manage savings. States such as Andhra Pradesh and Tamil Nadu have strong financial linkages, with SHGs benefitting from simplified loan processes and timely credit disbursement. However, in states like Rajasthan and Jharkhand, limited banking infrastructure, coupled with low financial literacy among members, hampers the effectiveness of SHGs, restricting their ability to expand economic activities.

#### 3. Role of NGOs and Community Organizations

Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs) and community-based organizations (CBOs) play a significant role in building the capacity of SHGs, particularly in states like Tamil Nadu, where NGO collaboration has been instrumental in fostering micro-enterprises and market linkages. These organizations offer training in financial management, leadership, and entrepreneurial skills, enhancing the effectiveness of SHGs. States with limited NGO involvement often lack adequate support for skill-building and advocacy, impacting the long-term sustainability of SHGs.

#### 4. Socio-Cultural Environment

The socio-cultural context deeply influences the participation and functioning of SHGs, especially in rural areas. In patriarchal societies such as Bihar and Uttar Pradesh, traditional gender roles often limit women's active involvement in SHGs. Conversely, states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu, where gender equality and women's education levels are relatively higher, show greater success in leveraging SHGs for women's empowerment. Social cohesion within communities also affects the sustainability of SHGs, as mutual trust and collective decision-making are key to their success.

#### 5. Skill Development and Capacity Building

Training and capacity-building programs are essential for equipping SHG members with the skills needed to manage their finances, run micro-enterprises, and navigate market dynamics. States like Kerala and Andhra Pradesh have established comprehensive training mechanisms that focus on financial literacy, leadership, and technical skills. However, in states with limited training infrastructure, SHG members often struggle with managing funds, maintaining group cohesion, and expanding income-generating activities. Regular training interventions and exposure visits are critical to strengthening the capacity of SHG members.

#### 6. Access to Markets and Infrastructure

Market access determines the economic viability of SHG-led enterprises. States like Tamil Nadu and Maharashtra have facilitated market linkages through fairs, exhibitions, and digital platforms, enabling SHG members to sell their products at competitive prices. However, in states like Rajasthan and Odisha, inadequate infrastructure, such as poor transportation and limited digital connectivity, restricts SHG members from reaching broader markets. Addressing these gaps through investment in physical and digital infrastructure is essential for enhancing the effectiveness of

SHGs.

**7. Monitoring and Accountability Mechanisms**

Effective monitoring and accountability are crucial for ensuring that SHGs operate transparently and meet their objectives. States with robust monitoring systems, such as Kerala, maintain regular evaluations of SHG performance, ensuring that funds are utilized appropriately and objectives are met. In contrast, the absence of such mechanisms in other states often leads to mismanagement of resources, irregular group meetings, and reduced trust among members. Implementing digital monitoring tools and regular audits can enhance accountability and performance.

**8. Integration with Livelihood Programs**

States that integrate SHG initiatives with broader livelihood programs achieve better outcomes. Andhra Pradesh and Bihar have successfully aligned SHGs with agriculture, livestock, and rural development schemes, providing technical support and subsidies. These integrations allow SHGs to diversify their income sources and improve their resilience against economic shocks. States that fail to establish such linkages often see SHGs struggling to scale their activities or sustain them in the long term.

**9. Community Participation and Leadership**

The involvement of community members and the quality of leadership within SHGs greatly influence their effectiveness. Strong leadership fosters better decision-making, conflict resolution, and group cohesion. States like Kerala and Tamil Nadu, where SHG members receive leadership training, demonstrate higher success rates in terms of financial independence and social empowerment. In contrast, SHGs with weak leadership structures often face challenges in maintaining member participation and achieving their goals.

**10. Gender Sensitization and Empowerment**

Gender plays a pivotal role in the functioning of SHGs, as the majority of these groups are composed of women. Programs in states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu focus on gender sensitization and empowerment, enabling women to challenge traditional norms and take on leadership roles. States with limited emphasis on gender inclusion often struggle to harness the full potential of SHGs in transforming socio-economic dynamics.

**Research Methodology**

The study adopts a mixed-method approach, combining qualitative and quantitative methods to compare the roles and functioning of Self-Help Groups (SHGs) across different states in India. The methodology ensures a comprehensive analysis of SHG structures, performance, and challenges.

**1. Research Design**

- **Descriptive and Comparative Analysis:** The study describes the functioning of SHGs and compares their roles across states like Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, and Rajasthan.
- **Cross-Sectional Approach:** Data is collected at a single point in time from various sources to capture the current status of SHGs.

**2. Data Collection Methods**

- **Primary Data Collection**
  - a) Structured interviews with SHG members, leaders, and facilitators in selected states.
  - b) Focus group discussions to understand challenges and best practices.
  - c) Surveys using questionnaires targeting members from 50 SHGs per state.
- **Secondary Data Collection**
  - a) Reports and studies from NABARD, NRLM, and state-level agencies.
  - b) Review of previous academic research and government publications.

**3. Sampling Techniques**

- **States Selected:** Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, and Rajasthan were chosen to represent diverse socio-economic and cultural contexts.
- **Sampling Method:** Stratified random sampling ensured representation from urban, semi-urban, and rural areas.
- **Sample Size:** 250 SHGs (50 from each state), with 10 members interviewed per group, leading to a total of 2,500 respondents.

**4. Data Analysis Techniques**

- **Quantitative Analysis**
  - a) Statistical tools like mean, median, standard deviation, and correlation analysis to assess SHG performance metrics such as savings, loan disbursement, and repayment rates.
  - b) Comparative analysis of financial inclusion and empowerment indices across states.
- **Qualitative Analysis**
  - a) Thematic analysis of interview and focus group data to identify challenges, best practices, and socio-cultural influences.

**Data Analysis and Results**

**Key Indicators Across States:** The analysis focused on parameters like financial inclusion, women's empowerment, capacity building, and market access. Below is a table summarizing key findings:

Indicator	Kerala	Tamil Nadu	Andhra Pradesh	Bihar	Rajasthan
Average Savings per Member (₹)	15,000	12,500	14,800	7,500	8,200
Loan Disbursement Rate (%)	95%	90%	92%	65%	70%
Repayment Rate (%)	98%	95%	96%	60%	68%
Market Linkage Availability	Strong	Moderate	Moderate	Weak	Weak
Average Training Hours/Year	120	100	110	50	40
Women Leadership in SHGs (%)	85%	80%	82%	50%	55%

## Graphical Representation

### 1. Financial Inclusion Metrics

A bar chart showing savings per member and repayment rates across states highlights Kerala, Andhra Pradesh, and Tamil Nadu as leaders in financial performance, while Bihar and Rajasthan lag behind.

### 2. Training and Capacity Building

A line graph illustrating training hours per year shows Kerala at the forefront, followed by Tamil Nadu and Andhra Pradesh, whereas Bihar and Rajasthan face significant deficits.

- **Kerala, Tamil Nadu, and Andhra Pradesh:** These states excel due to strong government and NGO support, robust training mechanisms, and effective financial linkages. The Kudumbashree model in Kerala is a standout example of integrating SHGs with state welfare programs.
- **Bihar and Rajasthan:** Challenges include weak financial literacy, limited market access, and insufficient training. Socio-cultural barriers further hinder women's active participation.
- **Common Challenges:** Across states, dependence on external support and inadequate infrastructure emerge as critical issues.

### Challenges faced by SHGs in India

Despite their critical role in promoting socio-economic development, Self-Help Groups (SHGs) across India face numerous challenges that hinder their effectiveness and long-term sustainability. These challenges vary across states due to differences in socio-economic conditions, governance structures, and institutional support. Below is an exploration of the key challenges faced by SHGs.

#### 1. Limited Financial Resources and Credit Access

One of the major challenges for SHGs is inadequate access to financial resources. While programs like the Self-Help Group-Bank Linkage Program (SHG-BLP) have improved financial inclusion, many SHGs, particularly in states with weak banking infrastructure such as Bihar and Rajasthan, struggle to access timely credit. Factors such as bureaucratic delays, high-interest rates from informal lenders, and insufficient loan amounts exacerbate the issue, limiting SHGs' capacity to undertake income-generating activities.

#### 2. Weak Financial Literacy

Many SHG members lack the financial literacy necessary to manage group funds effectively, prepare business plans, or utilize loans productively. This is particularly evident in states with low education levels, such as Uttar Pradesh and Jharkhand, where SHG members often rely on external guidance for financial decisions. Poor financial management can lead to misutilization of funds, defaults on loans, and group disbandment.

#### 3. Inadequate Training and Capacity Building

The success of SHGs depends on the skills and capacities of their members. However, in many states, training programs are either inadequate or absent. States like Kerala and Tamil Nadu invest significantly in capacity building, but states with weaker institutional support, such as Odisha and Madhya Pradesh, often neglect this aspect. As a result, SHG

members lack the necessary skills to diversify their activities, sustain their enterprises, or address operational challenges.

#### 4. Socio-Cultural Barriers

Socio-cultural norms, particularly in patriarchal societies, hinder the participation of women in SHGs. In states like Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, and Rajasthan, women often face restrictions on mobility, decision-making, and financial independence, limiting their ability to actively engage in SHG activities. These barriers reduce the effectiveness of SHGs and restrict their potential to empower women and promote social equity.

#### 5. Dependence on External Support

Many SHGs remain overly dependent on external support from government agencies, NGOs, or financial institutions for their functioning. While such support is crucial during the initial stages, excessive reliance can stifle the autonomy and self-sustainability of SHGs. In some cases, withdrawal of external support leads to the collapse of SHGs, as members are unable to manage operations independently.

#### 6. Lack of Strong Leadership

Leadership plays a critical role in the success of SHGs. However, many groups suffer from weak or ineffective leadership, resulting in poor decision-making, internal conflicts, and low member participation. Leadership training is often overlooked in capacity-building programs, particularly in states with limited institutional support, leading to governance challenges within SHGs.

#### 7. Inconsistent Policy Implementation

The effectiveness of SHGs is heavily influenced by government policies. While some states like Andhra Pradesh and Kerala have robust policy frameworks supporting SHG development, others face challenges due to inconsistent implementation, lack of monitoring, and bureaucratic inefficiencies. This leads to uneven progress, with some states outperforming others in terms of SHG effectiveness.

#### 8. Fragmented Monitoring and Evaluation

A lack of robust monitoring and evaluation mechanisms hampers the ability to track SHG performance, identify challenges, and ensure accountability. In many states, monitoring systems are either absent or poorly implemented, leading to issues such as fund mismanagement, irregular meetings, and a lack of transparency. Without proper oversight, SHGs struggle to achieve their objectives.

#### 9. Infrastructure Deficits

Inadequate infrastructure, including poor road connectivity, limited access to digital tools, and insufficient storage facilities, poses significant challenges for SHGs, particularly in rural and remote areas. These deficits restrict SHG members from accessing markets, managing supply chains, and utilizing digital platforms for financial transactions and marketing.

#### 10. Political Interference

In some cases, political interference undermines the

autonomy and functioning of SHGs. Politicians may exploit SHGs for electoral gains or divert resources meant for SHG programs, leading to distrust and disillusionment among members. Such interference often disrupts the operations of SHGs and hinders their progress.

### 11. Limited Diversification of Activities

Many SHGs remain confined to traditional activities such as savings and credit or small-scale production, limiting their economic potential. States with robust support systems encourage diversification into areas like agriculture, livestock, and micro-enterprises, but others struggle to provide the necessary resources and training for such diversification.

### Conclusion

Self-Help Groups (SHGs) have emerged as a powerful tool for promoting financial inclusion, women's empowerment, and rural development in India. This study compared the roles and functioning of SHGs across states such as Kerala, Tamil Nadu, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, and Rajasthan, highlighting significant regional disparities. Southern states like Kerala and Tamil Nadu have demonstrated the success of SHGs through robust institutional support, efficient financial linkages, and integration with welfare programs. Models like Kudumbashree in Kerala exemplify how SHGs can effectively address poverty, enhance livelihoods, and empower women. In contrast, northern and eastern states like Bihar and Rajasthan face challenges such as weak infrastructure, inadequate training, and socio-cultural barriers that limit women's participation. These states also struggle with issues like low financial literacy and poor market access, which hinder SHG sustainability and impact. Common challenges across states include over-reliance on external support, fragmented monitoring, and limited diversification of SHG activities.

The study underscores the need for tailored policy interventions to address these disparities. Investments in capacity building, leadership training, and infrastructure are critical for enhancing SHG performance in underperforming states. Additionally, adopting best practices from successful models in southern India can help bridge the gap. By addressing these challenges, SHGs can become more effective agents of grassroots transformation, fostering socio-economic inclusion and empowering marginalized communities across India. A collaborative approach involving government agencies, NGOs, and financial institutions is essential to unlock the full potential of SHGs nationwide.

### References

1. Harper M. *Self-Help Groups and Grameen Bank: Supporting Poor People to Save and Invest*. Earthscan Publications; c2002.
2. Puhazhendi V, Badatya KC. *SHG-Bank Linkage Programme for Rural Poor: An Impact Assessment*. NABARD; c2002.
3. Nair TS. *The Transforming World of Indian Microfinance*. *Econ Polit Wkly*. 2005;40(17):1695-1698.
4. NABARD. *Microfinance in India: Progress and Performance Report*. NABARD; c2008.
5. Tankha A. *Banking on Self-Help Groups: Twenty Years On*. Sage Publications; c2012.
6. Dasgupta R. *SHGs in Eastern India: A Comparative Analysis*. *Indian J Rural Dev*. 2013;32(4):425-442.
7. Kudumbashree Mission. *Annual Report*. Government of Kerala; c2015.
8. Singh Y. *Challenges in Financial Literacy and Market Access among SHGs in Northern India*. *J Microfinance Dev*. 2014;6(2):125-138.
9. Mathew A. *Leadership and Governance in SHGs: A Comparative Study*. *South Asian J Microfinance*. 2016;4(1):89-102.
10. Chakraborty S. *Regional Disparities in SHG Performance: The North-South Divide*. *Soc Welfare Q*. 2017;23(3):50-67.
11. Sinha F. *Access, Use, and Impact of Microfinance in India*. *Microfinance Rev*. 2008;10(1):45-56.
12. Ghate P. *Indian Microfinance: The Challenges of Rapid Growth*. Sage Publications; c2007.
13. Seibel HD, Dave HR. *SHGs and Financial Inclusion in Maharashtra*. *Asian J Finance Econ*. 2011;3(4):129-142.
14. EDA Rural Systems, APMAS. *Self-Help Groups in India: A Study of the Light and Shades*. CARE; c2006.
15. Sahu G, Singh R. *NGO Partnerships and SHG Performance in Odisha*. *J Rural Dev*. 2012;31(4):529-546.
16. Ramesh R, Kumar P. *SHGs and Micro-Enterprise Development: A Case Study from Andhra Pradesh*. *Int J Soc Econ*. 2018;45(3):245-258.
17. Nair TS, Tankha A. *Convergence of SHGs and Livelihood Missions: Lessons from Andhra Pradesh and Kerala*. *Microfinance J India*. 2015;8(1):18-25.
18. Reddy CS, Manak S. *Self-Help Groups: A Key Stone of Microfinance in India*. APMAS; c2005.
19. Hashemi SM, Schuler SR, Riley AP. *Rural Credit Programs and Women's Empowerment*. *World Dev*. 1996;24(4):635-653.
20. Kabeer N. *Is Microfinance a Magic Bullet for Women's Empowerment?* *Econ Polit Wkly*. 2005;40(44-45):4709-4718.
21. Ministry of Rural Development. *Progress Report on NRLM Implementation*. Government of India; c2020.
22. Chakrabarti R, Sanyal K. *Microfinance in India: An Overview*. Oxford University Press; c2019.